

# Monogeneity of pure number fields

## ALGANT Alumni in China

Khai-Hoan Nguyen-Dang  
(Leiden-Padova)  
(2019-2021)

Morningside Center of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

December 27, 2025





# Main theorem: $\alpha$ -monogeneity criterion

## Criterion theorem

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha^n = m$  and  $x^n - m$  irreducible. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \iff (m \text{ is square-free}) \text{ and } \nu_p(m^p - m) = 1 \quad \forall p \mid n.$$

## Dedekind index theorem

Factor  $f$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  as

$$f(X) = \pi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g(X)^{e_g} \quad (\pi_j \text{ distinct monic irreducibles}).$$

Lift each  $\pi_j$  to a monic  $\pi_j \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and write

$$f(X) = \pi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g(X)^{e_g} + pF(X), \quad F \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Then

$$p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]] \iff \exists j \text{ with } e_j \geq 2 \text{ and } \pi_j \mid F \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_p[X].$$

# Criterion for $\alpha$ -monogeneity

Only primes  $p \mid mn$  can divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$  (so it suffices to check  $p \mid m$  and  $p \mid n$ ).

## Case 1: $p \mid m$

Modulo  $p$ ,  $f(X) = X^n - m \equiv X^n$  so  $\pi(X) = X$  has multiplicity  $e = n \geq 2$ . Write

$$f(X) = X^n + pF(X), \quad F(X) = -\frac{m}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Dedekind  $\Rightarrow p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]] \iff X \mid F$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X] \iff F \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \iff p^2 \mid m$ . Hence: no such  $p$  divides the index  $\iff m$  is square-free.

## Case 2: $p \mid n$

Write  $n = p^r s$  with  $(p, s) = 1$  and set  $g(X) = X^s - m$ . Over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,

$$f(X) = X^n - m \equiv (X^s - m)^{p^r} = g(X)^{p^r},$$

so every irreducible factor occurs with multiplicity  $\geq 2$ .

## Proof cont.

### Case 2: $p \mid n$

Define

$$F(X) = \frac{f(X) - g(X)^{p^r}}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Since  $\bar{f} = \bar{g}^{p^r}$ , Dedekind's criterion only depends on  $\bar{F}$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be a root of  $g$  and work in  $A = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})[X]/(g)$  where  $X^s = m$ . Then

$$F(\alpha_0) \equiv \frac{m^{p^r} - m}{p} \pmod{p},$$

so Dedekind  $\Rightarrow p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]] \iff p^2 \mid (m^{p^r} - m)$ . Finally,  $\nu_p(m^{p^r} - m) = \nu_p(m^p - m)$ , so the obstruction is exactly

$$\nu_p(m^p - m) \geq 2 \iff p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]].$$

# Examples

## Examples

- $n = 3$ :  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  iff  $m$  square-free and  $m \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ .
- $n = 4$ :  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  iff  $m$  square-free and  $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- $n = 5$ :  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  iff  $m$  square-free and  $m \not\equiv 1, 7, 18, 24 \pmod{25}$ .

## Important nuance: cubic case

If  $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  but the field is still monogenic:

$$\theta = \frac{1 \pm \alpha + \alpha^2}{3} \in \mathcal{O}_K, \quad \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta].$$

# Density theorem

## Density theorem

Among  $m$  with  $x^n - m$  irreducible,

$$\delta_n = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{1 \leq m \leq X : \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\}}{X} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|n} \frac{p}{p+1}.$$

Fix  $n \geq 2$ . For a prime  $p \mid n$  define the “bad” set  $E_p = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m^p \equiv m \pmod{p^2}\}$ .

## Key local facts

- The congruence  $x^p \equiv x \pmod{p^2}$  has exactly  $p$  solutions mod  $p^2$ : one is 0, the other  $(p-1)$  are the Teichmüller lifts in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .
- When intersecting with square-free integers, the class 0 mod  $p^2$  disappears automatically. So “bad” square-free classes are exactly the  $(p-1)$  Teichmüller unit classes mod  $p^2$ .
- Each unit class mod  $p^2$  carries the same square-free density, hence among square-free  $m$  we can compute

$$\mathbb{P}(m \in E_p) = \frac{1}{p+1}, \quad \mathbb{P}(m \notin E_p) = \frac{p}{p+1}.$$

# General monogeneity criterion?

- Monogeneity asks for solving an *index form equation*  $I(x_2, \dots, x_n) = \pm 1$  in integers (global Diophantine constraints). Local solubility does not control global solubility.
- Two incompatible phenomena with a purely local criterion:
  - ①  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \neq \mathcal{O}_K$  but  $K$  still monogenic via a different generator (e.g. pure cubics with  $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ ).
  - ② There exist number fields with *no local obstruction* to being monogenic that are nevertheless *not* monogenic (positive proportion for cubic fields) by L. Alpöge, M. Bhargava, A. Shnidman.

# Complementary viewpoints

- Smith studies radical extensions  $L(\sqrt[n]{a})/L$ . Gives a *relative* criterion for  $\sqrt[n]{a}$  to generate a power integral basis over  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . Specializing to  $L = \mathbb{Q}$  recovers exactly our two local conditions.
- Bhargava, Shankar and Wang prove positive density results for maximality of the order  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f)$  in its fraction field, and for squarefree discriminants for  $f$  in a large-dimensional space of monic degree- $n$  polynomials.
- Arpin, Bozlee, Herr and Smith recast monogeneity from a scheme-theoretic perspective.

# References

-  S. Arpin, S. Bozlee, L. Herr, H. Smith, *The scheme of monogenic generators II: local monogeneity and twists*, Res. Number Theory 9 (2023), 43.
-  L. Alpöge, M. Bhargava, A. Shnidman, *A positive proportion of cubic fields are not monogenic yet have no local obstruction to being so*, Math. Ann. (2025).
-  M. Bhargava, A. Shankar, X. Wang, *Squarefree values of polynomial discriminants I*, Invent. Math. 228 (2022), 1037–1073.
-  M. Bhargava, A. Shankar, X. Wang, *Squarefree values of polynomial discriminants II*, Forum Math. Pi 13 (2025), e17.
-  J.-H. Evertse and K. Győry, *Discriminant Equations in Diophantine Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2017).
-  I. Gaál, *Diophantine Equations and Power Integral Bases*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser (2019).
-  K.-H. Nguyen-Dang and N. T. Hung,  *$\alpha$ -monogeneity of pure number fields: criterion and density*, preprint (2025).
-  H. Smith, *The monogeneity of radical extensions*, Acta Arith. 198 (2021), 313–327.

